

Insider
also a blue
note to EX-Din.

"If you have
any questions,



and I am
prepared to meet
with you"



STAT

STAT

4 August 1970

Mr. Bannerman:

Attached is the memo to Col. White regarding retirement moves within a metropolitan area. Also attached is your earlier memo to Col. White on this subject, and his note responding thereto.

It might be best to take this to Col. White and have along in case the Col. wants to discuss it.

I am also attaching BOB Circular A-56 dated 12 October 1966 and the amendment to A-56 (referred to in the OGC Opinion) dated 26 June 1969. (The specific language used by OGC in the 26 June 1969 amendment is underscored in red on pages 9 and 10.)



SECRET

Approved For Release 2003/04/29 : CIA-RDP84-00780R003800030007-3

of duty could not be related to a retirement move. Their proposal to amend our regulations is attached at Tab B. The second OGC opinion, dated 5 June 1970, is attached at Tab C. I have carefully reviewed the interpretations and rationale used by the Travel Policy Committee and I am in agreement with their proposal.

4. It should be noted that the term "Metropolitan Area" can cover a fairly large geographical area and a number of separate authoritative districts. For example, the Metropolitan Area of Washington is generally considered to include the federal district of Washington, D. C. and contiguous areas of the States of Maryland and Virginia. It includes all of Montgomery and Prince Georges Counties in Maryland, Arlington and Fairfax Counties and the city of Alexandria in Virginia. The diameter of the Metropolitan Area can measure up to 50 or more miles. I think it is important that we maintain flexibility in our interpretation and consider that any move within such an area is applicable within this proposed regulation.

5. Unless you disagree, I propose to amend accordance with the Travel Policy Committee recommendation.

25X1

R. L. Bannerman
Deputy Director
for Support

Atts

Tab A
Tab B
Tab C

CONCUR:

L. K. White
Executive Director-Comptroller

Date

3
Approved For Release 2003/04/29 : CIA-RDP84-00780R003800030007-3

SECRET

Distribution:

Orig - Addressee (Return to DD/S)

1 - ER

1 - Ex. -Dir. -Compt.

1 - OGC

1 - DD/Pers

1 - SSA-DD/S

☐ UNCLASSIFIED ☐ INTERNAL USE ONLY ☐ CONFIDENTIAL ☐ SECRET

Approved For Release 2003/04/29 : CIA-RDP84-00780R003800030007-3

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

FROM: DDS

Hqs

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

13 JUL 1970

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

1. Ex. Dir. - Compt.

7/13

7/14

2. DD/S

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

I'm a little confused as to which is the first case and which is the second in para 5 of the OGC memo. In any case, I would like to consider this from a policy point of view before the Reg. goes out. Suggest we wait until we make the policy decision.

I propose to proceed with
this action if agreeable to you.

[Redacted Signature]

R. L. DeLoach

13 July 1970

(DATE)

STAT

13 JUL 1970

MEMORANDUM FOR: Executive Director-Comptroller

SUBJECT : [REDACTED] - Moving Expenses

1. Attached at Tab "A" is an OGC opinion which updates their previous opinion (Tab "B") regarding transportation of household effects within a metropolitan area upon retirement of a CIA Retirement System employee.

2. The earlier opinion had been issued in connection with [REDACTED] case, and it was on the basis of that opinion that you denied his appeal in November 1969 of my earlier denial of his claim.

3. Standardized Regulations do not provide coverage for this type travel expense since authority for a retirement move upon retirement in the United States is not provided for under Civil Service laws and regulations. OGC could find no other authority under which [REDACTED] household effects movement expenses within the metropolitan Washington, D. C. area, could be reimbursed and in fact found guidance in Bureau of the Budget Circular A-56 which would dictate against it. This guidance was to the effect that travel and transportation expenses could not be authorized when the old and new posts of duty involved in the move "are located within the corporate limits of the same city or town." Subsequent to the issuance of the first opinion, a BOB Circular A-56 change was received which was retroactively effective on 26 June 1969 (i. e. prior to [REDACTED] move in July 1969). This change redefined "Post of Duty" to mean "the building or other place where the officer or employee regularly reports for duty," and under certain circumstances does permit reimbursement for travel and transportation expenses involved in a move totally within the metropolitan area of the same city or town. Following receipt of this new BOB Circular A-56 guidance, the Travel Policy Committee (made up of representatives of each Directorate, Finance and OGC who are authorized to speak for their offices) recommended that our regulations be

SECRET

amended to permit reimbursement of retirement moves within a metropolitan area for employees under the CIA Retirement System (See Tab "C"). That amendment is now in Regulations Control Staff being prepared for the necessary coordination processing.

4. On the basis of this later interpretation from OGC (Tab "A") I plan to authorize reimbursement to [REDACTED] for the transportation of his household effects from one apartment to another within the metropolitan area of Washington, D. C.

25X1

[REDACTED]

25X1

K. L. Bannerman
Deputy Director
for Support

Atts

Tabs "A" - "C"

SECRET